

CWLEP Programme Delivery Board 10/01/19 – Decision Note

DISCUSSION ITEM	DECISIONS	ACTION
<p>1. Rugby Construction Technology & Innovation Centre</p>	<p>The PDB confirmed that there is no further funding available from the CWLEP to deliver the scheme.</p> <p>PDB requested that the project confirms in writing by 1st March 2019 if they have a viable proposition to deliver the scheme within the current £1.5m funding allocation. The project would need to submit the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revised business case with the current £1.5M Growth Deal Funding • Outputs that could be delivered by 31st March 2021 • Key milestones • Re-profiled finances. • Revised Risk profile • Confirm that Aston University will still fund a reworked project <p>An emergency Programme Delivery Board to meet within 10 working days of receiving Warwickshire College's response</p> <p>PDB requested that the project be prepared to present their submission if required.</p>	<p>GDPT</p>
<p>2. A45 N-S Corridor Stanks</p>	<p>PDB approved the revised financial profile to allow £389,724 to be moved from 18/19 to 19/20, but confirmed they were eager to see movement on the project and requested an update at the next meeting.</p>	<p>GDPT</p>
<p>3. Warwick Arts Centre</p>	<p>PDB approved the recommendation to approve the delay to the programmes milestones subject to receiving a further update at the next PDB.</p> <p>The project to provide a written update confirming the latest position with confirmation of the project milestones and spend forecast by 1st March 2019.</p>	<p>GDPT</p>
<p>4. AME Expansion</p>	<p>PDB approved the project change request to allow the project to re-profile £649K into Q3 of 18/19, and noted the revised milestones date.</p>	<p>GDPT</p>

DISCUSSION ITEM	DECISIONS	ACTION
	PDB requested that the project provide an update at the next meeting.	
5. Transforming Nuneaton	<p>PDB approved the re-profile of £340k across financial years 2018/19 - 2019/20.</p> <p>PDB requested that the project provide an update to their next meeting.</p>	GDPT
6. CSW Broadband	<p>PDB requested that a task and finish group from the CWLEP be organised to undertake a full assessment with an emphasis on maintaining project partners' commitment to the partnership.</p> <p>PDB requested that the project provide an update to their next meeting.</p>	DH
7. West Midlands 5G – Urban Connected Communities Business Case	<p>PDB approved the allocation of up to £250k funding to the scheme with the following conditions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the funding does not pay for officer time, or salary recharges for the WMCA or any partner agency. • There is a commitment to place a representative from the CWLEP Board within the governance structure for the funding. <p>PDB requested that the Accountable Body provided options on how a financial allocation could be resourced.</p>	DH GDPT
8. A.O.B	<p>The PDB acknowledge that given it is entering the final two years of the programme it is highly unlikely that an additional call for projects would take place.</p> <p>One of the major risks over the next two years is projects that are unable to spend and return funds to the central Growth Deal programme funding pot.</p> <p>The Chair requested a strategy be produced for the remaining two-years of the Growth Deal programme to manage fluctuations in spending profiles by projects and the risk of projects financially underspending, impacting the overall performance of the Growth Deal programme.</p> <p>This should include but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principles for reallocating underspends over the remaining two years • Strategy for over programming agreeable with the Accountable Body • A list of projects that are able to spend Growth Deal funding and deliver additional outputs for the Programme. 	GDPT